Formative Outline
INTRODUCTION PARAGRAPH
Issue:
Prompt's opinion:
THESIS (Your position on prompt's position)
SUPPORT PARAGRAPH #1 -Topic Sentence
(First paragraph explains your position)
Evidence #1
Evidence #1
Commentary
Evidence #2
Commentary
SUPPORT PARAGRAPH #2 - Topic Sentence
(Second paragraph gives the concession)
Evidence #1 (evidence supporting opposing side, or concession)
Commentary

Developed by Jennifer Troy
Evidence #2
Commentary
SUPPORT PARAGRAPH #3 Topic Sentence (this paragraph is your rebuttal—you give evidence which supports your stand and counters the concession argument)
Evidence #1
Commentary
Evidence #2
Commentary
CONCLUSION PARAGRAPH Summary of your main argument

*Instead of an outline of topics, consider using this classical Greek rhetorical outline by functions. Note that some of these points might take several paragraphs.

1. Opening - The Introduction

To build credible ethos, to demonstrate to the audience that one is a reasonable person of good will who shares core beliefs with the audience (warrants). Some classic openings include

a quotation a question an anecdote

2. Providing Background Information

The arguments need to situate the reader with historical background. If the argument is about lowering the drinking age, provide information about how and why and why the drinking age was set to where it is now. This should include information as to differences by localities, particularly if the argument is going to proceed by parallel cases – i.e. "in Germany... therefore in America..."

Some of the statistics can be saved for the Proof section.

3. Defining the terms and explaining the issues

Continuing the above example, tell the reader "What is meant by drinking age?" "What are the consequences?" "Consequences for whom?"

4. Presenting the thesis

The argument's claim - particularly for a deliberative argument about policy (i.e. what should be done) -- plus reasons.

5. Giving Proof

This includes statistics, examples, quotes, and any other evidence to persuade a reader of the validity of the thesis. The ordering of these should match the reasons given in the thesis statement. Thus if the thesis is that "More nuclear power plants should be built because nuclear power is cheaper and reduces dependence on foreign oil" then the proof of cheapness comes before the proof of dependence on foreign oil. However, it is generally agreed that if some of the arguments/proofs are stronger than others, then one should start and end with strong ones and sandwich the weaker arguments in the middle.

6. Answering opposing arguments.

Specific arguments must be provided (with speakers' names etc) and then refuted (or acknowledged but dismissed as not sufficiently pressing), whether by challenging the speaker's factual accuracy, logic, or expertise. If no opposition is found, consider whether the argument is really a debatable point. Sometimes hypothetical "critics" can be alleged: "Critics might charge that..., but...". However, for this assignment, actual opposition must be found and cited.

7. Concluding

Sum up the points of the argument to show how the weight of evidence proves the thesis, while the opposition has been refuted.

Ideas from *Rhetoric in the Classical Tradition* by Winifred Bryan Horner. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1988. 232.

The Language of Concession

To establish your own ETHICAL APPEAL—in other words, to show yourself as a reasonable thinker who is willing to entertain the possibility of differing points of view—it is important in your argument to RECOGNIZE THE OPPOSING VIEWPOINTS. You make a concession, noting and explaining the views, which oppose your own.

Certain words/phrases help you do this gracefully. These words are useful to introduce your concession argument:

However,
On the other hand,
It is also true,
Some argue that...
Admittedly,
While it is certainly true that...
I concede that...
Obviously,
Granted,
It seems clear that,
Some make a convincing argument that
Not everyone agrees with this position, however. Some argue that...

Then, when you have finished explaining the view of the other side, certain phrases and words will take you back to your own point of view. These words are useful when you rebut the points made in your concession.

More importantly, however,
But more evidence supports the argument that . . .
It is quite clear, however, that . . .
But we must remember . . .
These points do not satisfactorily refute the conclusion that . . .
We must remember, however,

*Thanks to Becky Talk, Laying the Foundation.org THEY SAY I SAY Graff and Birkenstein